



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/626,824	07/23/2003	Randall Lewis Silagi	GIC-666	2532

43471 7590 05/29/2007
GENERAL INSTRUMENT CORPORATION DBA THE CONNECTED
HOME SOLUTIONS BUSINESS OF MOTOROLA, INC.
101 TOURNAMENT DRIVE
HORSHAM, PA 19044

EXAMINER

KIM, PAUL

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2161

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

05/29/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<p align="center">Office Action Summary</p>	<p>Application No.</p> <p align="center">10/626,824</p>	<p>Applicant(s)</p> <p align="center">SILAGI ET AL.</p>	
	<p>Examiner</p> <p align="center">Paul Kim</p>	<p>Art Unit</p> <p align="center">2161</p>	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 March 2007.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-11, 13-24 and 26-28 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-11, 13-24 and 26-28 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| <p>1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</p> <p>2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</p> <p>3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____</p> | <p>4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____</p> <p>5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application</p> <p>6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____</p> |
|--|---|

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office action is responsive to the following communication: Amendment filed on 8 March 2007.
2. Claims 1-11, 13-24 and 26-28 are pending and present for examination.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

3. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 8 March 2007 has been entered.

Response to Amendment

4. Claims 1, 5-6, 14, and 18-19 have been amended.
5. Claims 12 and 25 have been cancelled.
6. Claims 27 and 28 have been added.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

8. **Claim 1-8, 14-21, and 27-28** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cuccia (U.S. Patent No. 6,157,673), filed on 26 December 1996, and issued on 5 December 2000, in view

Art Unit: 2161

of Anderson et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,181,706, hereinafter referred to as ANDERSON), filed on 26 September 1997, and issued on 30 January 2001.

9. **As per independent claims 1 and 14**, CUCCIA, in combination with ANDERSON, discloses:

A method for collecting multimedia program information from a plurality of multimedia transport streams, comprising:

receiving a plurality of transport streams, each of which contains program information regarding multimedia programs carried in the transport stream {See CUCCIA, C1:L11-14, wherein this reads over "extraction of program specific information (PSI) from the multiple transport streams"},

receiving requests for collecting program information, said requests identifying program information to be collected from one or more of the transport streams {See CUCCIA, C3:L21-23, wherein this reads over "the action of the decoder requires the extraction of program specific information (PSI) from the transport stream newly applied to the transport decoder"},

obtaining program information packets {See ANDERSON, C4:L52-58, wherein this reads over "[a] transport stream is a collection of transport stream packets, linked by standard tables"} from the plurality of transport streams as they are received {See CUCCIA, C2:L25-39, wherein this reads over "decoding data corresponding to a program from a first transport stream"}, the obtained program information packets containing first received program information and second received program information; and

matching the first received program information {See CUCCIA, C2:L25-39, wherein this reads over "extracting program specific information from a second transport stream, indicating a correspondence between packet ID numbers and data for programs in said stream"} with a first list of requested program information {See ANDERSON, C9:L1-34, wherein this reads over "[t]he 13 bit PID value is sent to the PID filter to determine if a match occurs. Packets that mach a PID filter entry are forwarded, while all other packets, including null packets, are discarded"}; and

matching the second received program information {See CUCCIA, C2:L25-39, wherein this reads over "extracting program specific information from a second transport stream, indicating a correspondence between packet ID numbers and data for programs in said stream"} with a second list of requested program information {See ANDERSON, C9:L1-34, wherein this reads over "[t]he 13 bit PID value is sent to the PID filter to determine if a match occurs. Packets that mach a PID filter entry are forwarded, while all other packets, including null packets, are discarded"}.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the above invention suggested by CUCCIA by combining it with the invention disclosed by ANDERSON. The results of this combination would lead to a method for collecting multimedia program information from a plurality of transport streams through the use of PID filters which filters incoming transport packets.

Art Unit: 2161

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this modification such that program identifier data may be captured from a broadband transcoder multiplexer.

10. As per dependent claims 2 and 15, CUCCIA, in combination with ANDERSON, discloses:

The method of claim 1 wherein at least once of the transport streams is an MPEG transport stream {See CUCCIA, C1:L7-10, wherein this reads over "multiple transport streams, such as MPEG-2 [] encoded data streams"}.

11. As per dependent claims 3 and 16, CUCCIA, in combination with ANDERSON, discloses:

The method of claim 1 wherein the requested program information is comprised of multiple fields {See CUCCIA, Figure 3}.

12. As per dependent claims 4 and 17, CUCCIA, in combination with ANDERSON, discloses:

The method of claim 3 wherein said fields include at least one Program Identification (PID) Code {See CUCCIA, Figure 3; and C3:L32-33, wherein this reads over "[w]ithin each header PH is a 13 bit packet identification number or PID"}.

13. As per dependent claims 5 and 18, CUCCIA, in combination with ANDERSON, discloses:

The method of claim 1 wherein the steps of matching the first received program information and matching the second received program information is done asynchronously with respect to said receiving step {See CUCCIA, C2:L59-63, wherein this reads over "transport streams may be supplied from different source types such as modems, asynchronous transfer mode (ATM) networks"}.

14. As per dependent claims 6 and 19, CUCCIA, in combination with ANDERSON, discloses:

The method of claim 1 further comprising the step of notifying an application requesting the program information once a match is located {See CUCCIA, C4:L59-64, wherein this reads over "the extracted PSI is conveyed via microcontroller to the mapping function of the host processor of decoding system where it is used to store and maintain a global map of channel number s to transport stream and associated PSI"}, for the first received program information and the second received program information.

15. As per dependent claims 7 and 20, CUCCIA, in combination with ANDERSON, discloses:

The method of claim 6 wherein the application requesting the program information periodically queries the status of the request {See CUCCIA, C3:L10-12, wherein this reads over "[m]apping function is accessed in response to a channel change request issued from a user interface function"}.

16. As per dependent claims 8 and 21, CUCCIA, in combination with ANDERSON, discloses:

The method of claim 1 wherein the program information carried in the transport streams is received out of the sequence specified in the request {See CUCCIA, C1:L21-23, wherein this reads over "[a]ny one MPEG-2 transport stream may contain multiple programs for presentation to the user"}.

Art Unit: 2161

17. **As per dependent claims 27 and 28**, CUCCIA, in combination with ANDERSON, discloses:

The method of claim 1 wherein the first received program information includes a Program Identification (PID) Code {See CUCCIA, Figure 3; and C3:L32-33, wherein this reads over "[w]ithin each header PH is a 13 bit packet identification number or PID"} and the second received program information includes one of Table ID, Table ID extension, Version Number of Section Number {See CUCCIA, C3:L43-60, wherein this reads over "a packet which contains the Program Association Table (PAT). This table essentially relates program number to PIDs of packets containing a Program Map Table (PMT) for that program"}.

18. **Claims 9 and 22** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over CUCCIA, in view of ANDERSON, and in further view of Metz et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,666,293), filed on 3 July 1995, and issued on 9 September 1997.

CUCCIA And ANDERSON teach the limitations of claims 1-8, 14-21, and 27-28 for the reasons stated above.

CUCCIA and ANDERSON differ from the claimed invention in that they fail to expressly disclose the division of lists for search purposes (claims 9 and 22).

19. **As per dependent claims 9 and 22**, CUCCIA, in combination with ANDERSON and METZ, discloses:

The method of claim 1 wherein said processing includes dividing the requested information into multiple lists and searching each list as program information is received from the transport streams {See METZ, C12:L40-45, wherein this reads over "a number of packets used to find and decode desired sequences of packets in the stream, for example a program association map (PID), one or more program map tables and a network table"}.

The combination of inventions disclosed by CUCCIA, ANDERSON, and METZ would disclose an invention wherein multiple lists are created for search of program information as the program information is received from the transport streams. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the above invention suggested by CUCCIA and ANDERSON by combining it with the invention disclosed by METZ.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this modification to improve search efficiency.

Art Unit: 2161

20. **Claims 10-11 and 23-24** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over CUCCIA, in view of ANDERSON, in view of METZ, and in further view of Look et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,747,906, hereinafter referred to as LOOK), filed on March 30, 2000, and issued on June 29, 2004.

CUCCIA and ANDERSON teach the limitations of claims 1-8, 14-21, and 27-28 for the reasons stated above.

CUCCIA and ANDERSON differ from the claimed invention in that they fail to expressly disclose a linear search algorithm which is used to conduct the search (claims 10 and 23).

CUCCIA differs from the claimed invention in that CUCCIA fails to disclose a binary search algorithm which is used to conduct the search (claims 11 and 24).

21. **As per dependent claims 10 and 23**, CUCCIA, in combination with ANDERSON, METZ and LOOK, discloses a linear search algorithm which is used to conduct the search {See LOOK, col. 6, lines 1-8, wherein this reads over "linearly parse the stream from the beginning to find the desired location"}.

The combination of inventions disclosed in by CUCCIA, METZ and LOOK would disclose an invention wherein a linear search algorithm is used to conduct the search of transport streams. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the above invention suggested by CUCCIA and METZ by combining it with the invention disclosed by LOOK.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this modification because a linear search algorithm is a well-known search method within the art.

22. **As per dependent claims 11 and 24**, CUCCIA, in combination with ANDERSON, METZ and LOOK, discloses a binary search algorithm which is used to conduct the search {See LOOK, col. 5, line 66 – col. 6, line 6, wherein this reads over "[a] binary search can be performed on a stored file to index into a stream. Each stream is stored as a sequence of fixed-size segments enabling fast binary searches"}.

The combination of inventions disclosed in by CUCCIA, METZ and LOOK would disclose an invention wherein a binary search algorithm is used to conduct the search of transport streams. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was

Art Unit: 2161

made to modify the above invention suggested by CUCCIA and METZ by combining it with the invention disclosed by LOOK.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this modification because a binary search algorithm, a well-known search method within the art, improves the search efficiency.

23. **Claims 13 and 26** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over CUCCIA, in view of ANDERSON, and in further view of Official Notice.

24. **As per dependent claims 13 and 26**, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have multiple receivers simultaneously receiving requests from different applications.

Response to Arguments

25. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-11, 13-24 and 26-28 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion


26. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul Kim whose telephone number is (571) 272-2737. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9am - 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Apu Mofiz can be reached on (571) 272-4080. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2161

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Paul Kim
Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2161
TECH Center 2100


APU MOFIZ
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER